IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION CRIMINAL CASE NO. 1:09-cr-00051-MR-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,))
VS.	ORDER)
JEFFREY ALAN ARTHUR,	,))
Defendant.)) }
	<i>]</i>

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Defendant's "Motion to Terminate Restitution Payments" [Doc. 158].

In his motion, the Defendant moves to terminate the restitution payments that he is required by the Bureau of Prisons to make through the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program (IFRP). [Doc. 158].

The Bureau of Prisons has the authority to place the Defendant in the IFRP based on the wording contained in the Criminal Judgment. <u>See United States v. Watkins</u>, 161 F. App'x 337, 337 (4th Cir. 2006); <u>Bramson v. Winn</u>, 136 F. App'x 380, 381 (1st Cir. 2005). Before seeking relief from any court regarding obligations under the IFRP, a defendant must exhaust all administrative remedies through the Bureau of Prisons. <u>McGhee v. Clark</u>,

166 F.3d 884, 887 (7th Cir. 1999). Once all administrative remedies have been exhausted, a defendant may challenge such payments only by filing the appropriate pleading in the district court located in the district of confinement, not the sentencing court. <u>See Moore v. Olson</u>, 368 F.3d 757, 759 (7th Cir. 2004); <u>Matheny v. Morrison</u>, 307 F.3d 709, 711-12 (8th Cir. 2002).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Defendant's "Motion to Terminate Restitution Payments" [Doc. 158] is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: December 3, 2013

Martin Reidinger United States District Judge